

20061121.ba v03_n976.bam.20061121

>From ???@??? Tue Nov 21 08:52:52 2006 -0600
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:51:46 GMT
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3976
Message-Id: <20061121145147.DE9F23185B3@srvr1.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 3976

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) HRO-500 Repair Service Needed
by "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@earthlink.net>
- 2) Re: HRO-500 Repair Service Needed
by "k4pf@juno.com" <k4pf@juno.com>
- 3) FS: 304TL's, Heathkit, National, Hallicrafters, Lafayette and More
by David Hollander <n7rk@cox.net>
- 4) Good News
by "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
- 5) Good News
by Rhett George <rtg@ee.duke.edu>
- 6) SX101 cabinet
by "Tom Rauch" <w8ji@contesting.com>
- 7) Hamfest on Cape Cod = Found Ameco AC-1 ++
by John Dilks K2TQN <oldradio@worldnet.att.net>
- 8) Gizmos ahoy
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aaa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 9) HW-32 bandspread problem
by Charles <charlesmorris@hughes.net>
- 10) Re: HW-32 bandspread problem
by "k4pf@juno.com" <k4pf@juno.com>
- 11) Most Rx for least Redux
by "David M. Upton" <david@wb1cmg.mv.com>
- 12) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@muohio.edu>
- 13) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by wb3fau@att.net
- 14) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by Al Klase <al@ar88.net>
- 15) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@cox.net>
- 16) FCC "Omnibus" Amateur Radio R&O
by "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
- 17) UPS= "Upping Prices, Suckers!"
by "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 18) Re: UPS= "Upping Prices, Suckers!"

- by Robert Nickels <W9RAN@oneradio.net>
- 19) List Ping
by "Mark Shaum" <k9tr@dtinspeed.net>
 - 20) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by "Marty Reynolds' debris field" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
 - 21) Re: Most Rx for least Redux
by Gary Woods <garygarlic@earthlink.net>
 - 22) life span of antennas 1 mans joking opinion
by "John Gillespie" <jgillespie@porchlight.ca>

From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: HR0-500 Repair Service Needed
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:10:51 -0500
Message-ID: <IBEAICMPAKMPCMJKFBLBGEGCGDAA.w1ksz@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I picked up a nice, clean HR0-500 with the idea that it would make a nice winter project,
but, other projects are getting in the way, so, does anyone know where I could get this
gem repaired/re-tuned ? Right now it only locks on one band (26.5 MHz) and does make
noise. I have a copy of the manual with it.

Thanks for any leads,

73, Dick, W1KSZ

Mime-Version: 1.0
From: "k4pf@juno.com" <k4pf@juno.com>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 23:45:29 GMT
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Subject: Re: HR0-500 Repair Service Needed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Type: text/plain
Message-Id: <20061113.154614.2704.1444438@webmail06.lax.unttd.com>

Hi, Dick

You might try www.nortrex.net

which is a calibration lab that also does HRO-500's.
They advertise in the classified section of Electric Radio
magazine.

73,
Ed Knobloch

-- "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@earthlink.net> wrote:
I picked up a nice, clean HRO-500 with the idea that it would make a nice
winter project,
but, other projects are getting in the way, so, does anyone know where I
could get this
gem repaired/re-tuned ? <snip>

Message-ID: <455907D1.60300@cox.net>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:03:29 -0700
From: David Hollander <n7rk@cox.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: FS: 304TL's, Heathkit, National, Hallicrafters, Lafayette and More
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Here are some items for sale. Prices do not include shipping. I will
ship overseas.

Hallicrafters R-46B Speaker.....\$75 plus shipping
Matching speaker for the Hallicrafters SX-99, SX-100, SX-96 and S-85
series of receivers. The cabinet has no dents or gouges, but does have
some scuffs and scratches. Has the original paper tag inside. This
speaker sounds excellent when tried it with my Collins Radio R-388 and
RCA AR-77 receivers.
http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/halli_r46b.JPG
http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/halli_r46b4.JPG

304TL VT-129 Tubes - NOS.....\$85 per tube or \$160 for the pair
plus shipping
304TL VT-129 transmitting tubes made by North American Philips. These
are NOS tubes. I have no way to test them other than check filament
continuity.
<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/304tlnapx2.JPG>

Chicago 5 Volt 10 amp Filament Transformer.....\$20 plus shipping
Chicago Transformer part number TF1A01YY. Windings are 115 v/ 230 v
primary and 5.0 vct at 10 amps

http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/5v_filamentxfmr.JPG

Superior Electric 3 amp Powerstat Variac....\$22 plus shipping
Superior Electric Type 20, 3 amp Powerstat Variac. The variac has been tested and is working. This includes an easily removed mounting bracket.
http://members.cox.net/radiodx5/3a_powerstat2.JPG

Heathkit C-3 Capacitor Checker with Original Manual....\$50 plus shipping
Beautiful condition and works great. The eye tube is bright. Includes the original manual.
<http://members.cox.net/radiodx3/heathc3.JPG>
<http://members.cox.net/radiodx3/heathc32.JPG>

E.F. Johnson White Face Viking Messenger Transceiver...\$30 plus shipping
This has a nice front panel with the correct knobs and no extra holes. The outer case does have a number of extra holes in it. Includes the original EF Johnson microphone and both ac and dc cables/connectors. The connecting cables are rotted and will need to be replaced before power can be applied. The microphone is not connected. Restore this or convert it to 10 meters. This appears complete but it is untested.
<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/efjCB.JPG>
<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/efjCB2.JPG>
<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/efjCB3.JPG>

Rare National Radio NC-45.....\$125 plus shipping
This is an 8 tube receiver made from 1941-1945 covering 550 kHz to 30 MHz. Most models made were made the NC-45 which is an ac/dc receiver. The NC-45A has a transformer operated power supply. This radio does work and receives signals on all bands but it really need to be recapped and aligned. Cosmetically OK but also needs to be cleaned up. It has all of the correct knobs and I do not find any extra holes. The insides look OK but also need to be cleaned up. One interesting thing that I noticed is that the main tuning and bandspread knobs are both weighted, rather heavy and appear to have come from the factory that way. I do not have a manual but they are available from several sources on the web. I will include a schematic.
<http://members.cox.net/radiodx3/nc45rcvr.JPG>
<http://members.cox.net/radiodx3/nc45rcvr4.JPG>
<http://members.cox.net/radiodx3/nc45rcvr6.JPG>

Lafayette LA-750 Vintage Stereo Amplifier.....\$35 plus shipping
This is a compact late 1960's, early 1970's solid state stereo amplifier. This is in a metal, simulated woodgrain case. The unit has been tested with an AM/FM tuner and it works well and sounds good.
http://members.cox.net/radiodx5/lafayette_la750.JPG
http://members.cox.net/radiodx5/lafayette_la7502.JPG

Heathkit GD-1776 Home Heating Control in Original Box.....\$35 plus shipping

This kit is from 1977. It looks like this was assembled and then put away in the original box. This auction includes the original manual and box, the controller and the thermostat. It is untested.

<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/heathkitgd1776.JPG>

<http://members.cox.net/radiostuff9/heathkitgd17762.JPG>

73 and thanks for looking.

--

Dave N7RK Boatanchors Home Page: <http://members.cox.net/n7rk>
Phoenix, Arizona *DXCC Honor Roll* *WAZ#22 - 75 Meter SSB*

ex-XE2/N7RK, N7RK/ZB2, VK2ERK, ZM0AJN, WB6NRK, WN6IWX

Boatanchor and Antique Radio Collector

--

Dave N7RK Boatanchors Home Page: <http://members.cox.net/n7rk>
Phoenix, Arizona *DXCC Honor Roll* *WAZ#22 - 75 Meter SSB*

ex-XE2/N7RK, N7RK/ZB2, VK2ERK, ZM0AJN, WB6NRK, WN6IWX

Boatanchor and Antique Radio Collector

Message-ID: <BAY110-DAV1709EB612FB9DBD73D38DFA0EB0@phx.gbl>

From: "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Good News

Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 09:56:00 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002A_01C707D3.16B8EB60"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002A_01C707D3.16B8EB60

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I just have to share this with a few folks who will appreciate it. =20

I was part of a group of instructors who put on a class for want-to-be =
Technicians last week in Albuquerque. Our twenty six students included =

Boy Scouts, Scouters, parents, our host who provided the space, and even =
one seven-year-old Cub Scout. The class ran Thursday and Friday =
evenings and Saturday morning, and the test was given Saturday =
afternoon. Twenty three of our students passed, including the Cub =
Scout! I'm told by the fellow who organized the class that when he gets =
his call, our Cub will probably be the youngest Ham in the USA. We are =
following up with the three who did not pass with further mentoring. =20

I feel pretty good about this. Thanks for letting me share it with you.

Jim, W8KGI

-----=_NextPart_000_002A_01C707D3.16B8EB60

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_002A_01C707D3.16B8EB60--

Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 15:48:02 -0500

From: Rhett George <rtg@ee.duke.edu>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Good News

Message-ID: <20061114204802.GC3432@ee.duke.edu>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

- Greetings -

Many thanks to Jim Hanlon, W8KGI, for the great narrative about twenty-six students, wide-ranging in age, who were tutored by Jim and others over a busy end of week. Under their expert tutelage twenty-three passed and the remainder are trying it again. This is what ham radio is about and this is the life-blood of our hobby.

Jim, I owe you a great, big thank you! I hope others do.

Message-ID: <005d01c70847\$e08aee10\$650fa8c0@radioroom>
From: "Tom Rauch" <w8ji@contesting.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: SX101 cabinet
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:51:49 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I think someone on this reflector was looking for a SX101 cabinet. Might have been from Atlanta?
If so, there is one on that big auction site set to expire in about three hours.

Message-Id: <6.2.3.4.0.20061114185734.0400e1d0@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:01:35 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: John Dilks K2TQN <oldradio@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Hamfest on Cape Cod = Found Ameco AC-1 ++
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Gang,

I was on a short vacation visiting my grandchildren near Boston. I attended a Hamfest on Cape Cod and found an Ameco AC-1 transmitter in very clean original condition - and from the original owner. It was a good day.

I also found 3 WE carbon microphones #600A in extremely clean condition, and a Lafayette carbon microphone on a home made ring stand.

Also two early variable capacitors (1915-1920s) and two large ham crystals from the late 30s.

Last, I found a direction indicator for a beam antenna, circa 1949, or so. Big box, slant front with a world map under glass.

Total hamfest attendance was probably 50 to 75. Message: the small hamfests can be fun, and it beats going shopping with my daughter.

--

73' John Dilks, K2TQN

Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:59:51 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200611142359.kAENxpJZ006485@fracas.netboobie.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Gizmos ahoy

looking for 2.

-HP 200CD cabinet & escutcheon

-SCR-522 remote. Called BC-1175

Have an ART-13 remote control as trading bait

Tnx all,

'rm

From: Charles <charlesmorris@hughes.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: HW-32 bandsread problem
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 22:08:16 -0600
Message-ID: <7s2ll25q3p17dnbueoo8faniqkgf7a8oi7@4ax.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Recently I bought a supposedly good condition HW-32 on that auction place... of course it had several missing or broken parts (but that's another rant). Among other things, the snout of the reduction drive on the VFO air variable was broken, so I bought a good used variable from K8GNZ's Old Heathkit Parts with the correct p/n (26-89). It does not have as many plates as the variable that was in there (26-104). Also the 200 pf cap in the VFO circuit had been replaced with a 360 pf. The coil is the right part (26-52).

So now I have it back to "original configuration" but it won't work! Well, it does receive 20m signals, but when trying to align it, I discovered that there is insufficient bandsread (if I set the dial at 14.350 with the plates fully meshed and adjust the VFO coil slug as the manual says to peak a 14.350 signal, then when I go to the other end of the dial at 14.200 the actual rx freq won't

go below about 14.230 when adjusting the trimmer. And even if I set the coil at max inductance (slug centered in the winding), which then upsets the calibration at 14.350, it still won't go below 14.208.

It seems to me that the variable doesn't have enough ratio between min and max capacitance. But it does not appear to be missing plates and it is the right part number. If I reduce the amount of shunt capacitance (experimentally replaced the 47 pf shunt cap with a 22 pf) I can get the VFO high enough, but then there isn't enough inductance in the coil for the lowest VFO freq.

This doesn't seem to be a known design flaw in the HW-32. The only solution I see is to add more turns to the coil. Any thoughts? Is there a factory fix that I'm not aware of?

Also, does anyone know the actual VFO frequency range so I can just watch it on my HP counter while aligning? The manual doesn't say...

thanks
Charles

=20

Mime-Version: 1.0
From: "k4pf@juno.com" <k4pf@juno.com>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:14:04 GMT
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Subject: Re: HW-32 bandspread problem
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Type: text/plain
Message-Id: <20061115.061426.741.1154948@webmail20.lax.unttd.com>

Hi, Charles

I was disappointed with BAMA for once. Their on-line HW-32 manual doesn't include the block diagram which spells out the VFO =

and heterodyne oscillator frequency details.

However, a Heath ad in Jan '64 QST says all the HW-12, 22, =

and 32 series use the same VFO, 1.5 to 1.7 MHz.

73,
Ed Knobloch

>-- Charles <charlesmorris@hughes.net> wrote:
Recently I bought a supposedly good condition HW-32 on that auction place... of course it had several missing or broken parts (but that's another rant). Among other things, the snout of the reduction drive on the VFO air variable was broken, so I bought a good used variable from K8GNZ's Old Heathkit Parts with the correct p/n (26-89). It does not have as many plates as the variable that was in there (26-104). Also the 200 pf cap in the VFO circuit had been replaced with a 360 pf. The coil is the right part (26-52).

<snip>

Also, does anyone know the actual VFO frequency range so I can just watch it on my HP counter while aligning? The manual doesn't say...

Message-ID: <455B5108.1020604@wb1cmg.mv.com>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 12:40:24 -0500
From: "David M. Upton" <david@wb1cmg.mv.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Most Rx for least Redux
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

How about the Moseley Rx CM-1 (?). While I don't have one, I did get to see the schematic and manual at a recent flea. They got quite a lot of functionality out of 6AW8s!! Anybody ever play with one? It is a pretty decent design more in line with current thinking.

David,WB1CMG

Message-Id: <7.0.1.0.2.20061115133128.00f313e8@muohio.edu>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 13:34:57 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@muohio.edu>
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 12:40 PM 11/15/2006, you wrote:

>How about the Moseley Rx CM-1 (?). While I don't have one, I did
>get to see the schematic and manual at a recent flea. They got
>quite a lot of functionality out of 6AW8s!! Anybody ever play with
>one? It is a pretty decent design more in line with current thinking.
>
>David,WB1CMG

Hi David,

Yes, the CM-1 is a neat little receiver, if a bit of an odd duck. I
can't imagine what possessed the designers to use 6AW8As for the
entire radio! If you're interested, I wrote up a description of my
CM-1 with photos on my website at
<http://www.w8zr.net/vintage/receivers/mosley.htm>

73,

Jim Garland W8ZR

James C. Garland
102 Spur Ranch Road
Santa Fe, NM 87540
www.w8zr.net

From: wb3fau@att.net
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "David M. Upton" <david@wb1cmg.mv.com>
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:35:58 +0000
Message-Id:
<111520061835.8049.455B5E0E0009897800001F7121604666489A0E00CC0D99@att.net>

This has been a great string- how about a Squires-Sanders SSR_1?
Arden, I just got a 2C, at a horse trading event- looking
forward to trying it out. Russ.

Message-ID: <455B678C.3070102@ar88.net>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:16:28 -0500
From: Al Klase <al@ar88.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

David M. Upton wrote:

> How about the Moseley Rx CM-1 (?). While I don't have one, I did get
> to see the schematic and manual at a recent flea. They got quite a
> lot of functionality out of 6AW8s!! Anybody ever play with one?

No, but I'm suspicious of any receiver that uses sharp-cutoff pentodes
in it's gain controlled stages. That's what remote-cutoff tubes are for.

Regards,
Al

--

Al Klase - N3FRQ
Flemington, NJ
<http://www.skywaves.ar88.net/>

Message-ID: <008401c70916\$8c604b80\$b1d66e44@rn.hr.cox.net>
From: "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@cox.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 19:31:24 -0500
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Herman, N4CH also wrote the little RX up in Electric Radio a few years
ago... worth reading.

Alan, N3BJ

----- Original Message -----
From: "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@muohio.edu>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2006 1:34 PM
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux

> At 12:40 PM 11/15/2006, you wrote:
> >How about the Moseley Rx CM-1 (?). While I don't have one, I did
> >get to see the schematic and manual at a recent flea. They got
> >quite a lot of functionality out of 6AW8s!! Anybody ever play with
> >one? It is a pretty decent design more in line with current thinking.

> >
> >David,WB1CMG
>
> Hi David,
> Yes, the CM-1 is a neat little receiver, if a bit of an odd duck. I
> can't imagine what possessed the designers to use 6AW8As for the
> entire radio! If you're interested, I wrote up a description of my
> CM-1 with photos on my website at
> <http://www.w8zr.net/vintage/receivers/mosley.htm>
>
> 73,
> Jim Garland W8ZR
>
>
> *****
> James C. Garland
> 102 Spur Ranch Road
> Santa Fe, NM 87540
> www.w8zr.net
> *****
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.409 / Virus Database: 268.14.5/534 - Release Date: 11/14/2006
>
>

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.409 / Virus Database: 268.14.5/534 - Release Date: 11/14/2006

Message-ID: <BAY110-DAV17B29A1A46DC51D141B235A0E90@phx.gbl>
From: "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: FCC "Omnibus" Amateur Radio R&O
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:16:26 -0700
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="====_NextPart_000_008D_01C70992.2F102190"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_008D_01C70992.2F102190
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The ARRL web site is reporting that the FCC "Omnibus"
Amateur Radio R&O has been published in the Federal
Register today. The rules changes take effect on
Friday, December 15, 2006 at 12:01 EST.

=20

<http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/11/15/100/?nc=3D1><<http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/11/15/100/?nc=3D1>>

Jim, W8KGI

-----=_NextPart_000_008D_01C70992.2F102190
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_008D_01C70992.2F102190--

Message-ID: <003a01c70b2a\$5551baa0\$fa01fea9@262u1>
From: "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: UPS= "Upping Prices, Suckers!"
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:58:03 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ouch...

"UPS published Retail Rates will change as follows*: UPS Ground
service to commercial and residential destinations will have the

same transportation charge, based on the lower commercial rate, and will increase 4.9%. The Ground residential surcharge that was previously built into the Ground residential rates will now appear as a separate charge on your UPS invoice. Air and International services will increase a net 4.9%, through a combination of a 6.9% increase in rates and a 2% reduction in the air fuel surcharge. In addition, the Delivery Area Surcharge and Residential Surcharge will both increase by \$0.10. "

Message-ID: <455F3369.3000905@oneradio.net>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 10:23:05 -0600
From: Robert Nickels <W9RAN@oneradio.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: UPS= "Upping Prices, Suckers!"
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

David Stinson wrote:

> Ouch...

>

>

Since UPS is doing very well, with healthy increases in their most recent quarter in package volume, revenue and op profit - they obviously feel they can raise the cost of their services. The value of anything has to be based on the next-best alternative and we all know what those are. If FedEx or USPS works for you, great. I just know that every time threads like this get started, there will be both horror stories and high praise for all of 'em.

73 and as they used to say "Mail Early!"

Bob W9RAN

Message-ID: <004e01c70b81\$c2112a20\$0400a8c0@xp2500>
From: "Mark Shaum" <k9tr@dtnspeed.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: List Ping
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 20:23:53 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Pardons the ping spam, but I haven't seen anything from the list since November 11. Checked subscription with listproc and all seems well.

Mark K9TR

Message-ID: <2458.216.49.173.93.1164112283.squirrel@fracas.netboobie.org>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 07:31:23 -0500 (EST)
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
From: "Marty Reynolds' debris field" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

> I
>> can't imagine what possessed the designers to use 6AW8As for the
>> entire radio!

Jim I bet it was done by a former late 30s German designer

Their 35mhz AM rx/tx-en used substantially identical tubes through-out. Acorn-like things with bakelite rings. Quick-change knob on each.

And to prove that imitation is sincerest form of appreciation, our BC-604/684 WECO FM tank tx-en used all 1619s except final. And a lot of 'em

I love to find out why certain types appeared in huge surplus #s. That blow'd the 1619 reason. Another case is the blue million 815s. There was scarce, persnickity drone & glide-bomb & early vhf command set setups. Didn't explain why so many still emerge. Reason was use in marker beacon tx-en. Late BC-400s used a giz called a TU-75* module with three 815s. And 3 BC-400s @ each end of 'marked' runway. So 18 815s running on each. Wow.

Seems BC-400s never scrapped & some may still be running. Maybe as long-lived as the ever lovin' EE-8

Tube history channel switch off

Marty the aa4rm

* '75' a give-away. Marker beacons on 75mhz. Lapairow Bro.s in Cincinnati had p/o the blue million new replacements f-s ~1956.

Got me & 100s others on 6 1st time

Message-ID: <10069292.1164115966621.JavaMail.root@elwamui-darkeyed.atl.sa.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:32:46 -0500 (GMT-05:00)
From: Gary Woods <garygarlic@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Most Rx for least Redux
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit

>Jim I bet it was done by a former late 30s German designer
>
>Their 35mhz AM rx/tx-en used substantially identical tubes through-
>out. Acorn-like things with bakelite rings. Quick-change knob
>on each.
>
>And to prove that imitation is sincerest form of appreciation,
>our BC-604/684 WECO FM tank tx-en used all 1619s except final.
>And a lot of 'em

And RCA seemed in love with the 807- I remember a broadcast 1-KW rig that used 807s for everything except the final (833s) and modulator (4-400s, I think). Crystal oscillator, buffer, driver, audio in and phase splitter, all that beloved bottle.

They also had a monitor amp with 4 in PP-parallel. Williamson ultra-linear, which I first met in a Heathkit amp.

Message-ID: <000801c70d7d\$3428a320\$2b31bb40@k9a1e1>
From: "John Gillespie" <jgillespie@porchlight.ca>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: life span of antennas 1 mans joking opinion
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 09:55:56 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C70D53.3D8AC620"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C70D53.3D8AC620
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi guys:

Read this on the net this morning, and had a laugh so I thought I would pass it on. Read the paragraph on the life span of antennas! one mans joking opinion. Sounds pretty sane to me.... all those electrons piling up on the lawn. take note the article even mentions that tube radios last longer... because the grid leak passes those electrons to the floor. HiHi john

C:\My Documents\Choosing wire for an antenna by dxzone_com.htm

-----=_NextPart_000_0005_01C70D53.3D8AC620
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *

-----=_NextPart_000_0005_01C70D53.3D8AC620--

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3976
